Mobile Portal Technologies and Business Models

David Parsons
Massey University, New Zealand

INTRODUCTION

Mobile portals have become a common entry point to the mobile Internet, and take a number of forms. They may be service provider portals, such as Vodafone's Live! portal (Vodafone, 2006), offering access to both in-house and brokered external services. Alternatively, they may be public pure play sites that provide some kind of managed access to resources using a yellow-pages approach. Good examples of this kind of mobile portal are WordDial (WordDial, 2006) and graBBit (Grabbit, 2006), though they have very different approaches to the way that they provide targeted access to resources, with WordDial using a keyword approach and graBBit modeled on more traditional search engines. As well as mobile and pure play operators, mobile portals are also provided by device manufacturers (e.g., Palm (Palm, 2006)), software companies (e.g., MSN (Microsoft, 2006)) existing Web portal providers (e.g., Yahoo (Yahoo, 2006)), mass media companies (e.g., AOL (AOL, 2006)) and transaction providers (m-commerce sites).

MOBILE PORTAL ADVANTAGES

The advantages that mobile portals have over standard Web portals are in ubiquity, convenience, localization, and personalization. Ubiquity means that the portal can be accessed anywhere, regardless of location. With ever widening coverage by mobile network providers, mobile portals have an increasingly ubiquitous presence. Availability, via mobile devices, at any time provides for convenience, with the ability for users to access portals at the point of need, for example to get up to date information on flight times or traffic conditions. Wireless connectivity is integrated into the mobile phone, whereas alternative ways of connecting to the Internet while traveling, such as accessing wireless or fixed networks, or using publicly available computers, can be difficult and/or expensive to access in many locations. Localization is a specific strength of mobile portals, since they can use location awareness to provide services that are targeted to the user's current locality (e.g., local weather). Location awareness can be supported by a number of technologies, including triangulation from a mobile phone network or the satellite based global positioning

system (GPS). Finally, personalization is a key component of mobile portals for two reasons. First, the difficulty of navigation and the small screen size of mobile devices means that it is important to target Web-based material as much as possible. Second, such targeting is easier for subscription type services that are common with mobile phone contracts, where the carrier is likely to be able to gather considerable information about users and construct accurate profiles of their activities and requirements. All of these characteristics are important features in the potential for mobile commerce, which relies on giving the best value-for-time service. Portals that are easily customizable, technically flexible, and contain relevant content are those that are most likely to be successful tools for mobile commerce (Clarke, Flaherty, & Madison, 2003).

MOBILE PORTAL TECHNOLOGIES

The technology of mobile portals is evolving as mobile devices become more sophisticated. Early portals were based on the wireless access protocol (WAP) version 1.0, using the Wireless Markup Language (WML) with very limited user interface features and severe limits on the type of content that could be accessed. In many cases, content was based on a transformation from HyperText Markup Language (HTML) pages, designed for standard Web browsers, into WML pages. These conversions, performed by WAP gateways that linked the mobile device network to the wider Internet, were slow and the content was not optimized for mobile users. Current WAP-based portals take advantage of the improvements in WAP technology that were introduced with version 2.0 (e.g., WAP push and end-to-end security) and handsets to provide richer interaction and media types. In addition, content is more likely to be tailored especially for mobile devices rather than being converted from HTML, developed either directly in WML or in XHTML-MP (eXtensible HyperText Markup Language – Mobile Profile) which is the evolutionary pathway from WML and is now the recommended markup language for mobile Internet domains (Cremin & Rabin, 2006).

Portals that were developed in the context of second generation (2G) mobile phone networks suffered from slow connection speeds, limiting the range of contents that could be provided. Portals running over third generation (3G)

networks benefit from much faster data transfer speeds, so they can deliver rich multimedia content, such as TV and movie feeds and MP3 downloads. However, despite the market dominance of entertainment content, with the huge popularity of ring tones and screen savers, mobile portal services are not limited to entertainment alone. Some portals also host location based services, for example the provision of MapPoint access via the Vodafone portal in certain territories, and portal-hosted M-Payment services are increasingly popular.

DESIGN ASPECTS OF MOBILE PORTALS

Mobile portals have had to be designed to provide the easiest access to services within the usual constraints of mobile devices, such as limited screen space, varying navigation button layouts on phones from different manufacturers, and lack of a consistent programming platform. Unlike portals designed for the desktop, where portals are usually based around HTML tables containing separate portlets, mobile portals are structured around nested menu lists, often with images, that provide quick scrolling access to services. The initial WAP portal pioneered by Vodafone Live! exemplified the typical style for mobile portals, with a brand header followed by a list of headlines that lead to other pages. Figure 1 shows a top level menu page from Vodafone Live! Although this type of mobile portal design has become a little more sophisticated over time with the move towards larger screens and XHTML-MP markup, the basic principles of using brief headline links and/or small images still apply.

Typical top-level mobile portal menus contain links to services such as news, weather, TV, downloads (games, ring tones, screen savers) and search engines. Mobile portals are not, however, only designed for one way services. One of the more unique features of a mobile portal is the ability to register for alerts, sent via SMS or using push technologies.

Because of the difficulties of configuring connections to the mobile Internet and managing page navigation with limited control keys, mobile carriers have worked with handset manufacturers to provide branded phones that include single key access to the carrier's mobile portal. This makes it easier to access the carriers own portal but harder to access other portals.

BUSINESS MODELS FOR MOBILE PORTALS

There are three basic business models for mobile portals, which may be used in combination. Either they are based on subscription, payment for individual services or advertising.

Figure 1. The Vodafone Live! mobile portal (image courtesy of Vodafone Ltd.)

The role of the mobile network operator in the m-commerce value chain will vary between contexts, but at the most active level the operator will provide the network, the WAP gateway, the mobile portal and also act as an intermediary and trusted third party between the customer and other content and service providers (Tsalgatidou & Veijalainen, 2000).

The first generation of mobile portals, introduced in the late 1990s, had limited success due to factors including cost, limited browser capability and slow transmission speed. However in Japan, NTT DoCoMo's subscription-based I-mode portal showed that it was possible to achieve success in the mobile portal market by developing a large customer base built using youth targeted branding, low costs and suitable technology (CNET News, 2001). A key aspect of success in Japan, as opposed to early failure in Europe, was that DoCoMo successfully integrated the three value chains that comprise mobile telecommunications, the devices, the infrastructure, and the services (Sigurdson, 2001). More recent success outside Japan has been based on integrating

these three components, via Web portals, that link devices to carriers by building portal access into their menus and brokering services from other providers.

Mobile portals have been an important revenue generator for mobile phone network providers because they have been the main driver for use of data services by personal, as opposed to corporate, users. For example, UK figures provided on a regular basis by the Mobile Data Association show that WAP page impressions (i.e., requests for one or more WML files that construct a single page) have increased hugely since 2002, when the first UK mobile portals were introduced, from about 200 million per month to nearly 2 billion by the end of 2005 (Mobile Data Association, 2006).

Portals provided by network providers sometimes use a walled garden approach to browsable content, which integrates third party content. In many cases, this content has to be paid for. Access to the portal is built into phones provided by the carrier, making access easy, but locking the user into one point of access to the mobile internet. From the user's perspective, the walled garden is useful in that the control of content means that all content will be appropriate to the mobile device. However, it limits the user's ability to browse the internet more widely. On many devices, although it is possible to do so it is much more difficult to set up than using the built in portal. As an alternative approach, some carriers simply provide direct access to the Web via a specific home page, such as T-Mobile's use of the Google home page (Mobile Pipeline, 2005).

FUTURE TRENDS IN MOBILE PORTALS

Beyond the current WAP generation, future mobile portals will take advantage of smart phone and Java 2 Micro Edition (J2ME) devices to deliver more sophisticated content and interactivity, using dynamically loaded applications and leveraging XHTML-MP markup as the common evolution path from WAP, cHTML and XML. To enable two way interaction between users and portal providers, many portals include push elements, enabling alerts to be sent to users based on their user profiles, and increasingly, Podcasts will be integrated into mobile portals to enable more sophisticated push content (Lewin, 2005). As mobile devices evolve from WML based markup to XHTML-MP, and screen size and resolution increases, there will be less distinction between pages designed for the Web in general and those designed specifically for mobile devices. The distinction between mobile and Web portals will blur, and eventually the distinction between them may well fade way almost altogether. In the interim, with the increasing number of portals available, and the increasing flexibility of devices, it is unlikely that providers will be able to sustain purely walled garden approaches. Rather, they will need to use their branded sites to provide unique content through their partners, and leverage the usability advantages of customized handsets, in order to retain users.

As devices and networks evolve, portal providers will have to adapt to changing technologies and markets. There will, however, still be significant differences in content provision between mobile portals and the rest of the Internet, because of the value added services that are possible through localization and personalization. Because of this, even when the mobile portal ceases to exist as a separate entity, Web portals will still include some elements that are unique to the mobile user.

CONCLUSION

Mobile portals have been an important component of the mobile Internet, providing mobile users with easier access to Web-based resources and enabling service providers to provide targeted content. Partnerships between network carriers and mobile device manufacturers are an important part of the business strategy of many mobile portals, enabling a walled garden approach that manages the user's Internet access. Early mobile portals had to be developed in the context of the limited form factor of WAP phones and restrictions on connection availability and speed. With the development of mobile phones with bigger, better screens (full color, high resolution, etc.) and high speed data connections, mobile portals have become both more sophisticated in the user interface and able to deliver a wider range of content.

REFERENCES

AOL. (2006). *AOL Mobile Portal*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://aolmobile.aol.com/portal/

Clarke, I., Flaherty, T., & Madison, J. (2003). Mobile portals: The development of m-commerce. In B. Mennecke & T. Strader (Eds.), *Mobile commerce: Technology, theory and applications* (pp. 185-201). Hershey, PA: IRM Press.

CNET News. (2001, April 18). *Wireless Web portals duke it out*. Retrieved March 2006, from http://news.com.com/Wireless+Web+portals+duke+it+out/2009-1033_3-255977.html?tag=st.num

Cremin, R., & Rabin, J. (2006). *dotmobi switch on! Web browsing guide*. Retrieved March 2006, from http://pc.mtld.mobi/documents/dotmobi-Switch-On!-Web-Browsing-Guide.html

Grabbit. (2006). *Grabbit*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://www.grabbit.co.nz/

Lewin, J. (2005). *Podcasting emerges as an ebusiness tool*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://smallbusiness.it-world.com/4427/nls_ecommercepod050601/page_1.html

Microsoft. (2006). *MSN Mobile*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://mobile.msn.com/

Mobile Data Association. (2006). *Mobile Data Association home page*. Retrieved January 26, 2006, from http://www.mda-mobiledata.org/mda/

Mobile Pipeline. (2005, June 29). *T-Mobile to use Google as mobile portal, dumps 'walled garden.'* Retrieved January 26, 2006, from http://informationweek.com/story/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=164903968

Palm. (2006). *Palm Mobile portal*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://mobile.palmone.com/

Sigurdson, J. (2001, October 9). *WAP OFF—Origin, failure and future*. Retrieved January 26, 2006, from http://www.telecomvisions.com/articles/pdf/wap-off.pdf

Tsalgatidou, A., & Veijalainen, J. (2000, September). Mobile electronic commerce: Emerging issues. In *Proceedings of Electronic Commerce and Web Technologies: First International Conference, EC-Web 2000.* London.

Vodafone. (2006). *Vodafone Live!* Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://www.vodafone.co.nz/vlive/vlive.jsp

WordDial. (2006). *WordDial home page*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://www.worddial.com/

Yahoo. (2006). *Yahoo Mobile*. Retrieved January 31, 2006, from http://mobile.yahoo.com/

KEY TERMS

Global Positioning System (GPS): A network of satellites that enables ground based devices to acquire their latitude, longitude and altitude. Since line of sight is required to four satellites for accurate positioning, availability and accuracy will vary depending on the device context. For example, GPS location finding cannot be used indoors.

Localization: The delivery of services to the user that are aware of the user's current location and therefore tailored to that context.

Mobile Portal: Access point to the mobile Internet that provides a gateway to mobile applications.

Personalization: Providing content to the user that is based on their user profile.

Ubiquity: The availability of a service in most, if not all, locations.

Vodafone Live!: The original WAP portal, launched by Vodafone in 2002.

WAP Gateway: Part of the infrastructure of the mobile internet, providing a gateway between the World Wide Web and mobile telephone infrastructure.

WAP Push: Technology that allows a server to push content to WAP phone without requiring the phone's browser to make a client request.

Wireless Access Protocol (WAP): A communications protocol developed specifically for mobile phones, which supports page markup using the Wireless Markup Language (WML).

Wireless Markup Language (WML): XML compliant markup syntax, developed by the WAP forum, for creating pages for display on mobile phones.